arXiv:1207.0019v2 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 3 Jul 2012

Bose Condensate in the D-Dimensional Case, in Particular, for $D = 2$

V. P. Maslov

Abstract

This paper states that as the temperature tends to the degeneracy temperature, there are sufficiently many particles accumulating at the point of Bose condensate and a gap is being formed in the spectrum of the Schrödinger equation corresponding to the Bose gas. In the two-dimensional case, the spectrum is purely discrete, there is no transition to the integral formula, and the gap in the spectrum becomes more visual [12]. The case of finitely many particles of the order of 10^2 is considered in the paper on the basis of heuristic considerations similar to those used by V. A. Fock to derive the Hartree–Fock equation. The paper is written in the physical language. The author's old results about the λ-point and superfluidity are given. The author's paper written in 1995 is presented in the Appendix.

In 1925, Einstein, when examining a work of Bose, discovered a new phenomenon, which he called the Bose condensate. A modern presentation of this discovery can be found in [1]. An essential point in this presentation is to define the entropy of the Bose gas. The definition is related to the dimension by means of the so-called "number of states" (cells), which is denoted by G_j in the book [1]. After this, the problem of minimizing the entropy is considered by using the Lagrange multipliers under two constraints, namely, for the number of particles and for energy. The number of states G_j is determined by the formula which mathematicians call the "Weyl relation;" it is described in detail in [2] in the "semiclassical case" in the section "Several degrees of freedom." The $2D$-dimensional phase space is partitioned into a lattice, and the number G_j is defined by the formula

$$G_i = \frac{\Delta p_j \Delta q_j}{(2\pi h)^D}. \tag{1}$$

The indeterminate Lagrange multipliers are expressed in terms of temperature and chemical potential of the gas.

Further, in [1], following Einstein, a passage to the limit is carried out as $N \to \infty$, which enables one to pass from sums to integrals. Then, in the section "Degenerate Bose gas," a point is distinguished which corresponds to the energy equal to zero. This very point is the point of Bose condensate on which excessive particles whose number exceeds some value $N_d \gg 1$ are accumulated at temperatures below the so-called degeneracy temperature T_d. The theoretical discovery of this point anticipated a number of experiments that confirmed this fact not only for liquid helium but also for a series of metals and even for hydrogen.

From a mathematical point of view, distinguishing a point in the integral is an incorrect operation if this point does not form a δ function. In particular, for the two-dimensional case, this incorrectness leads to a "theorem" formulated in various textbooks and claiming that there is no Bose condensate in the two-dimensional case.

In this paper, we get rid of this mathematical incorrectness and show that, both in the two-dimensional and in the one-dimensional case, the Bose condensate exists if the point introduced above is well defined.

The main idea of the author in the proof of the occurrence of the Bose condensate in the D-dimensional case is in a concordance between the chemical potential $\mu \to 0$ and the number of particles $N \to \infty$ when passing to the limit.

The phenomenon associated with the point of condensation holds only if the limit as $\mu \to 0$ depends on $N \to \infty$.

If we accept Einstein's remarkable discovery for the three-dimensional case and justify it in a mathematically correct way, then the Bose condensate in the two-dimensional case is equally correct mathematically. We dwell on the two-dimensional case below in particular detail.

Thus, we consider the case in which $N \gg 1$, but n is not equal to infinity. In the section "Ideal gas in the case of parastatistics" of the textbook by Kvasnikov [3], there is a problem (whose number in the book is (33)) which corresponds to the final parastatistics

$$n_j = \frac{1}{\exp\{\frac{\varepsilon_j-\mu}{T}\}-1} - \frac{k+1}{\exp\{(k+1)\frac{\varepsilon_j-\mu}{T}\}-1}, \qquad n_j = \frac{N_j}{G_j}. \tag{2}$$

In our case, we have $k = N_d$, and the point of condensate is $\varepsilon_0 = 0$.

By (1), it is clear that G_j is associated with the D-dimensional Lebesgue measure and, in the limit with respect to the coordinates Δq_j, gives the volume V in the space of dimension 3 and the area Q in the space of dimension 2. The passage with respect to the momenta Δp_j is also valid as $N \to \infty$ and $\mu > \delta > 0$, where δ is arbitrarily small.

Expanding (2) at the point $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ in the small parameter

$$x = (\mu N_d)/T_d,$$

where N_d stands for the number of particles corresponding to the degeneration and T_d for the degeneracy temperature, and writing

$$\xi = -\mu/T_d,$$

we obtain $G_0 = 1$, see (12) below,

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 &= \left\{\frac{1}{\exp\{\frac{-\mu}{T}\}-1} - \frac{N_d+1}{\exp\{(N_d+1)\frac{-\mu}{T}\}-1}\right\} = \frac{e^{\xi N_d}-1-(N_d+1)(e^{\xi}-1)}{(e^{\xi}-1)(e^{2N_d}-1)} \\ &= \frac{N_d}{2}\,\frac{1+\frac{x}{6}+\frac{x^2}{4!}+\frac{x^3}{5!}+\dots}{1+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{x^2}{6}+\frac{x^3}{4!}+\dots} = \frac{N_d}{2}\left(1-\frac{x}{3}-\frac{11}{24}x^2-0.191x^3-\dots\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For example, if $x \to 0$, then $n_0 = N_d/2$, and hence the number n_0 in the condensate at $T = T_d$ does not exceed $N_d/2$. If $x = 1.57$, then $n_0 \approx N_d/10$. Certainly, this affects the degeneracy temperature, because this temperature can be expressed only in terms of the number of particles above the condensate, $\tilde{N}_d$, rather than in terms of the total number of particles N_d (which is equal to the sum of $\tilde{N}_d$ and of the number of particles in the condensate).

According to the concept of Einstein, at $T = T_d$ the condensate contains $o(N_d)$ particles. However, even this accumulation gives a δ function, albeit with a small coefficient, for example, $\tilde{N}_d/\ln N_d$.

To reconcile the notion of Bose statistics which is given in [1] with symmetric solutions of the N-particle Schrödinger equation, i.e., of the direct sum of N noninteracting Hamiltonians corresponding to the Schrödinger equation, and the symmetric solutions of their spectrum, it is more appropriate to assign to the cells the multiplicities of the spectrum of the Schrödinger equation in the way described in [4].

Consider the nonrelativistic case in which the Hamiltonian H is equal to

$$p^2/(2m),$$

where p stands for the momentum.

The comparison of G_i with the multiplicities of the spectrum of the Schrödinger equation gives a correspondence between the eigenfunctions of the N-partial Schrödinger equation that are symmetric with respect to the permutations of particles and the combinatorial calculations of the Bose statistics that are presented in [1].

A single-particle ψ-function satisfies the free Schrodinger equation with the Dirichlet conditions on the vessel walls. According to the classical Courant formula,

$$\lambda_j \sim \frac{2h^2}{m}\left(\frac{\pi^{D/2}\Gamma(D/2+1)}{V}\right)^{2/D} j^{2/D} \qquad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty, \tag{4}$$

where D stands for the dimension of the space, because the spectral density has the asymptotic behavior

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{V m^{D/2}\lambda^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2+1)(2\pi)^{D/2}h^D}(1+o(1)) \qquad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty. \tag{5}$$

The asymptotics (4) is a natural generalization of this formula.

Using this very correspondence, we establish a relationship between the Bose–Einstein combinatorics [1], the definition of the N-particle Schrödinger equation, and the multiplicity of the spectrum of the single-particle Schrödinger equation.

The spectrum of the single-particle Schrödinger equation, provided that the interaction potential is not taken into account, coincides, up to a factor, with the spectrum of the Laplace operator. Consider its spectrum for the closed interval, for the square, and for the D-dimensional cube with zero boundary conditions. This spectrum obviously consists of the sum of one-dimensional spectra.

On the line we mark the points $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and on the coordinate axes x, y of the plane we mark the points with $x = i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $y = j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. To this set of points (i, j) we assign the points on the line that are positive integers, $l = 1, 2, \dots$.

To every point we assign a pair of points, i and j, by the rule $i + j = l$. The number of these points is $n_l = l + 1$. This is the two-dimensional case.

Consider the 3-dimensional case. On the axis z we set $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, i.e., let

$$i + j + k = l$$

In this case, the number of points n_l is equal to

$$n_l = \frac{(l+1)(l+2)}{2}.$$

It can readily be seen, for the D-dimensional case, that the sequence of multiplicities for the number of variants

$$i = \sum_{k=1}^{D} m_k,$$

where m_k are arbitrary positive integers, is of the form

$$q_i(D)=\frac{(i+D-2)!}{(i-1)!(D-1)!},\qquad \text{for } D=2,\quad q_i(2)=i, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}N_i=N,\qquad \varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}q_i(D)N_i=E. \tag{7}$$

The following problem in number theory corresponds to the three-dimensional case $D=3$ (cf. [1]):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}N_i=N,\qquad \varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{(i+2)!}{i!6}N_i=E,\qquad \frac{E}{\varepsilon}=M. \tag{8}$$

Write $M=E_d/\varepsilon_1$, where ε_1 stands for the coefficient in formula (4) for $j=1$. Let us find E_d,

$$E_d=\int_0^{\infty}\frac{\frac{|p|^2}{2m}\,d\varepsilon}{e^{\frac{|p|^2}{2m}/T_d}-1}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$d\varepsilon=\frac{|p|^2}{2m}\frac{dp_1\dots dp_D\,dV_D}{(2\pi h)^D}. \tag{10}$$

Whence we obtain the coefficient α in the formula,

$$E_d=\alpha T_d^{2+\gamma}\zeta(1+D/2)\gamma(1+D/2), \tag{11}$$

where $\gamma=D/2-1$.

To begin the summation in (7) at the zero index (beginning with the zero energy), it is necessary to rewrite the sums (7) in the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}N_i=N,\qquad \varepsilon\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(q_i(D)-1)N_i=E-\varepsilon N. \tag{12}$$

The relationship between the degeneracy temperature and the number $\tilde{N}_d$ of particles above the condensate for $\mu>\delta>0$ (where δ is arbitrarily small) can be found for $D>2$ in the standard way.

Thus, we have established a relationship between G_i in formula (1) (which is combinatorially statistical) and the multiplicity of the spectrum for the single-particle Schrödinger equation, i.e., between the statistical [1] and quantum-mechanical definitions of Bose particles.

For $D=2$, the general problem reduces to a number theory problem.

Consider the two-dimensional case in more detail. There is an Erdős' theorem for a system of two Diophantine equations,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}N_i=N,\qquad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}iN_i=M. \tag{13}$$

The maximum number of solutions of this system is achieved if the following relation is satisfied:

$$N_d=c^{-1}M_d^{1/2}\log M_d+aM_d^{1/2}+o(M_d^{1/2}),\qquad c=\pi\sqrt{2/3}, \tag{14}$$

and if the coefficient a is defined by the formula

$$c/2 = e^{-ca/2}.$$

The decomposition of M_d into one summand gives only one version. The decomposition M_d into M_d summands also provides only one version (namely, the sum of ones). Therefore, somewhere in the interval must be at least one maximum of the variants. Erdős had evaluated it (14) (see [7]).

If the number N increases and M is preserved in the problem (13), then the number of solutions decreases. If the sums (13) are counted from zero rather than from one, i.e., if we set

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} iN_i = (M - N), \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} N_i = N, \tag{15}$$

then the number of solutions does not decrease and remains constant.

I'll try to explain this effect. The Erdős–Lehner problem [5] is to decompose M_d into $N \le N_d$ summands. Let us expand the number 5 into two summands. We obtain $3+2 = 4+1$. The total number is 2 versions (this problem is known as "partitio numerorum"). If we include 0 to the possible summands, we obtain three versions: $5+0 = 3+2 = 4+1$. Thus, the inclusion of zero makes it possible to say that we expand a number into $k \le n$ (positive integer) summands. Indeed, the expansion of the number 5 into three summands includes all the previous versions, namely, $5+0+0$, $3+2+0$, and $4+1+0$, and adds new versions, which do not include zero.

In this case, the maximum number of versions for the decomposition of the number 5 into N summands (there are two versions) is achieved at $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ (the two values for the maximum number of versions for N above the condensate).

In this case, the maximum does not change drastically [5]; however, the number of versions is not changed, namely, the zeros, i.e., the Bose condensate, make it possible that the maximum remains constant, and the entropy never decreases; after reaching the maximum, it becomes constant. This remarkable property of the entropy enables us to construct an unrestricted probability theory in the general case [8].

Let us turn to a physical definition.

Note first that, without changing the accuracy of the quantity whose logarithm is evaluated, we can replace $\log M_d$ by

$$(1/2)\log(\tilde{N}_d/Q).$$

Then

$$\sqrt{M_d} = \frac{2\tilde{N}_d/Q}{c^{-1}\log(\tilde{N}_d/Q) + a} + o\left(\frac{\tilde{N}_d}{Q}\right). \tag{16}$$

In our case, $\tilde{N}_d/Q$ corresponds to the number of particles above the condensate.

According to formula (11), in the two-dimensional case we must set $\gamma = 0$ and find the coefficient α. Then formula (16) gives us a relationship between $\tilde{N}_d$ and T_d due to the fact that the number of particles in the condensate is $o(\tilde{N}_d)$.

For details concerning the Bose condensate in the one-dimensional case, see [11], [12], and [13].

In fact, we have proved that there is a gap between $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ for $\mu = 0$.

Remark 1. Transition to quantum perfect liquid occurs for $T \cong 0.84T_d$. For $0.84 < T < T_d$, there is a two-phase region up to the point of equality of chemical potentials. But this liquid is not superfluid. As follows from the above and as was noted earlier by N. N. Bogoliubov, some " weak imperfectness" is required for the superfluidity. In this case, the liquid spinodal in the region of negative energy terminates at the point $T = 0.39T_d$. Below this temperature, the liquid becomes a dispersive system, i.e., "foam", cf. arXiv:1111.6106v2.

The liquid spinodal $\gamma = \gamma(T)$ for $\gamma < 0$ is determined by the following conditions:

$$N = T_r^{\gamma_0+1}\zeta(\gamma_0+1), \qquad \gamma_0 = 1/2, \qquad T_r = T/T_d,$$

on the interval $Z = Z_d$, where $Z = PV/NT$, in the plane Z, P; for the isotherm–isochor

$$T_r^{\gamma_0+1}(\zeta(\gamma_0+1)) = \zeta(1+|\gamma(T_r)|)T_r,$$

we obtain the dependence of γ on the temperature for $\tilde{\mu} = 0$ (for the spinodal) in the region of negative pressures. In particular, for $T_r = 1$ (i.e., for $T = T_d$), $\gamma = -\gamma_0$ determines the initial reference point for the chemical potential of the liquid phase $\tilde{\mu} = 0$ by the condition

$$P = \frac{\mathrm{Li}_{2-\gamma_0}(e^{-\tilde{\mu}/T_d})}{\zeta(2+\gamma_0)} = 1.$$

Thus, we find the initial reference point $\tilde{\mu}$ from the condition on T_r:

$$\mathrm{Li}_{2+\gamma_0}(1) = \mathrm{Li}_{2-\gamma_0}(e^{-\tilde{\mu}_0/T_d}),$$

where Li is the polylogarithm. We find $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$ as a function of T_d from the condition

$$T_r^{\gamma_0}\,\mathrm{Li}_{2+\gamma_0}(e^{-\mu/T_r}) = T_r^{-|\gamma(T_r)|}\,\mathrm{Li}_{2-|\gamma(T_r)|}(e^{-\tilde{\mu}/T_r-\tilde{\mu}_0/T_d}).$$

This allows us to obtain the point μ on the gas branch, which determined the phase transition of the perfect Bose gas into the perfect Bose liquid. The two-phase region is possible only on a small interval $T_r < 0.84$. For lesser temperature T_r, the chemical potential$\mu = -\infty$, i.e., the gas completely turns into liquid. Only in the case where the interaction is taken into account (see below), there is a sufficiently good coincidence with experimental data.

Remark 2. The author studied the relationship between the economy during a crisis and the Bose condensate, which corresponds to the bankruptcy [9]. Continuing the correspondence principle proposed by Irving Fisher, an economist and a disciple of Gibbs (this principle is the "fundamental law of economics"), where the amount of money M corresponds to the number of particles N, the author suggested to compare the chemical potential to the negative value of the nominal interest rate, which corresponds to Friedman's rule.

The issue of money accompanied the fall of the nominal interest to 0.5% following this dependence in which the small parameter $\frac{\mu}{T_d}N_d$ became equal to $\frac{1}{2D}$, where D stands for the "number of degrees of freedom", which can be fractional (in our case, this number is the dimension) [8].

In the paper [10] by E. M. Apfel'baum and V. S. Vorob'ev, taking into account the de Boer parameter, the values Z_c for helium were calculated experimentally, which, according to the author's rule

$$Z_c = \frac{\zeta(\frac{D}{2}+1)}{\zeta(\frac{D}{2})},$$

enables one to determine the number D of degrees of freedom and to experimentally verify whether or not there is an empirical relation of this kind between μ and T_d in thermodynamics. It was assumed that the Bose gas is not perfect (i.e., the Schrödinger equation with a potential is considered) and the value of $x = (\mu N_d)/T_d$ reflects the interaction between the particles, just as the Zeno line reflects the interaction between particles in classical thermodynamics [11].

Let us present heuristic considerations concerning the passage through the point T_d which were presented by the author in [14]. The author proved and used the Bose statistics in the case of a fractional number of degrees of freedom for classical thermodynamics, where to a value of T_d there corresponds the critical temperature. The author has shown that these values coincide. For an example describing the creation of a dimer, it is shown that, for $T = T_{\mathrm{c}}$, one degree of freedom becomes " frozen", and we obtain two degrees of freedom rather than three. For a dimer with $T > T_{\mathrm{c}}$, if the oscillational degrees of freedom are taken into account, then the number of degrees of freedom becomes equal to 6. Two degrees of freedom are obtained under the assumption that the oscillational degrees of freedom of the dimer are also "frozen" at $T = T_{\mathrm{c}}$. If we suppose this heuristic supposition for the quantum case, then, for $T < T_d$, both dimers with two degrees of freedom and dimers with six degrees of freedom are created. This corresponds to the two-liquid Thiess–Landau model. In this case, the dimers with two degrees of freedom give the λ-point and the dimers with six degrees of freedom give superfluidity. Indeed, in the two-dimensional case we have

$$c_p \cong \frac{2T}{T_d}\int_0^\infty \frac{\xi\,d\xi}{e^\xi - 1} + \frac{T}{T_d}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^\xi \xi\,d\xi}{(e^{\xi-\mu/T}-1)^2} + O\biggl(\frac{T-T_d}{T_d}\biggr),$$

and we obtain a logarithmic divergence at the point $\xi = 0$ for $\mu \to 0$.

Thus, if we consider an N-particle Schrödinger equation whose eigenfunctions are symmetric under the permutations of the particles, then the parastatistic correction leads to the fact that $N/2$ particles are in the condensate for $T = T_d$ and $N = N_d$. For $N > N_d$, all the extra particles pass into the condensate state, which determines the dependence of the temperature T on N, and hence the dependence of N on the temperature for $T < T_d$ as well.

The case in which N is not so large as it is in statistical physics, i.e., the so-called mesoscopic state (see [14]), can also be of interest for us. In this case, let us use Fock's idea for the Hartree equations, which lead to the Hartree–Fock equations. *** Namely, we consider the single-particle equation of the mean field (a self-consistent field) and apply (to the resulting "dressed" potential) the procedure of transition to the N-partial Schrödinger equation with a dressed potential, just as we proceeded above for the operator $\frac{h^2}{2m}\Delta$. Here we can consider two ways of investigation. The first way is the way used by Fock and which leads in the semiclassical limit to the Thomas–Fermi equations for the dressed potential. Another way is to consider the Hartree temperature equations (see [15]) and to obtain the Thomas–Fermi temperature equations in the classical limit.

Since the quantity T_d is small, it is easier to use the first way and to find the "dressed" potential.

Let $V(q-q')$ be a pairwise interaction potential such that $\int |V(r)|\,dr < \infty$. The dressed potential $W(q)$ is given by the formula

$$W(q) = U(q) + \int V(q-q')|\psi(q')|^2\,dq',$$

where $U(q)$ stands for the external potential and $\psi(q')$ for an eigenfunction of the Schrödinger equation which depends on the "dressed" potential and is thus an equation with a "unitary" nonlinearity. The expansion of the equation in powers of h can be found by the method of complex germ up to $O(h^k)$, where k is an an arbitrarily large number[1] (see [23], where system (63) defines a complex germ; see also [24]–[30]). The superfluidity in nanotubes was confirmed experimentally.

The author thanks Professors G. I. Arkhipov, V. S. Vorob'ev, and V. N. Chubarikov for permanent discussions.

References

[1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshits, *Statistical Physics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1964) [in Russian].

[2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshits, *Quantum Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1976) [in Russian].

[3] I. A. Kvasnikov, *Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics: Theory of Equilibrium Systems* (URSS, Moscow, 2002), Vol. 2 [in Russian].

[4] V. P. Maslov, "Mathematical Aspects of Weakly Nonideal Bose and Fermi Gases on a Crystal Base", Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **37** (2), 16–27 (2003) [Functional Anal. Appl. **37** (2), (2003)].

[5] P. Erdős, J. Lehner, "The Distribution of the Number of Summands in the Partitions of a Positive Integer," Duke Math. J. **8** (2), 335–345 (June 1941).

[6] V. P. Maslov, "New Probability Theory Compatible with the New Conception of Modern Thermodynamics: Economics and Crisis of Debts," Russian Journal of Math. Physics **19** (1), 63–100 (2012).

[7] P. Erdős, "On some asymptotic formulas in the theory of partitions," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52**, 185–188, (1946).

[8] V.P.Maslov, "Unbounded Probability Theory Compatible with the Probability Theory of Numbers," Math. Notes, **91** (5) 603–609, (2012).

[9] V. P. Maslov, "Theorems on the Debt Crisis and the Occurrence of Inflation," Math. Notes, **85** (1) 146–150, (2009).

[10] E. M. Apfelbaum, V. S. Vorob'ev, "Correspondence between the Critical and the Zeno-Line Parameters for Classical and Quantum Liquids," J. Phys. Chem. B, **113** (11), 3521–3526 (2009).

[11] V. P. Maslov, "Mathematical conception of "phenomenological" equilibrium thermodynamics", Russ. J. Math. Phys. **18** (4), 363–370 (2011).

[12] V. P. Maslov, "Demonstrativeness in Mathematics and Physics,", Russ. J. Math. Phys. **19** (2), 163–175 (2012).

---

[1] For $U(q) \equiv 0$, one obtains Bogolyubov's famous equation [16]. The creation of dimers leads to the ultrasecond quantization, i.e., to the operators of creation and annihilation of pairs. This makes it possible to satisfy the boundary conditions in a capillary ([17]–[22]).

[13] V.P.Maslov, “Binodal for the New Ideal Gas and the Ideal Liquid,” Math. Notes, **91** (6) 893–894, (2012).

[14] V. P. Maslov, ”Hypothetic λ-Point for Noble Gases,” Russ. J. Math. Phys. **17** (4), 400–413 (2010).

[15] V. P. Maslov, *Complex Markov Chains and the Feynman Path Integral for Nonlinear Equations* (Nauka, Moscow, 1976) [in Russian].

[16] N. N. Bogolyubov, *On the Theory of Superfluidity*, in *Selected Works* (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1970), Vol. 2 [in Russian].

[17] V. P. Maslov, “On the dependence of the criterion for superfluidity from the radius of the capillary,” Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **143** (3), 307–327 (2005) [Theoret. and Math. Phys. **143** (3), 741–759 (2005)].

[18] V. P. Maslov, “Resonance between one-particle (Bogoliubov) and two-particle series in a superfluid liquid in a capillary,” Russ. J. Math. Phys. **12** (3), 369–379 (2005).

[19] V. P. Maslov, “On the superfluidity of the classical fluid in a nanotube for even and odd numbers of neutrons in a molecule,” Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **153** (3), 388–408 (2007) [Theoret. and Math. Phys. **153** (3), 1677–1696 (2007)].

[20] V. P. Maslov, “On the superfluidity of classical liquid in nanotubes. I. Case of even number of neutrons,” Russian J. Math. Phys. **14** (3), 304–318 (2007).

[21] V. P. Maslov, “On the superfluidity of classical liquid in nanotubes. II. Case of odd number of neutrons,” Russian J. Math. Phys. **14** (4), 401–412 (2007).

[22] V. P. Maslov, “On the Superfluidity of Classical Liquid in Nanotubes. III,” Russian J. Math. Phys. **15** (1), 61–65 (2008).

[23] V. P. Maslov, “Quasi-particles associated with Lagrangian manifolds corresponding to semiclassical self-consistent fields. III,” Russ. J. Math. Phys. **3** (2), 271–276 (1995).

[24] V. P. Maslov and O. Yu. Shvedov, *The Method of Complex Germ.* (URSS, Moscow, 2000)[in Russian].

[25] V. P. Maslov, *The Complex WKB Method in Nonlinear Equations* (Nauka, Moscow, 1977) [in Russian].

[26] V. P. Maslov, *The Complex WKB Method for Nonlinear Equations I* (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel–Boston–Berlin, 1994).

[27] V. P. Maslov, “On an Integral Equation of the Form $u(x) = F(x) + \int G(x,\xi)u_+^{(n-2)/2}(\xi)d\xi / \int u_+^{(n-2)/2}(\xi)d\xi$ for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$”, Mat. Zametki **55** (3), 96–108 (1994) [Math. Notes **55** (3–4), 302–311 (1994)].

[28] V. P. Maslov, “Spectral Series, Superfluidity, and High-Temperature Superconductivity”, **58** (6), 933–936 (1995) [Math. Notes **58** (5–6), 1349–1352 (1995)].

[29] V. P. Maslov and O. Yu. Shvedov, “The number of Bose-condensed particles in a weakly nonideal Bose gas,” Mat. Zametki **61** (5), 790–792 (1997) [Math. Notes, **61** (5), 661–664 (1997)].

[30] V. P. Maslov, “On an averaging method for the quantum many-body problem,” Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **33** (4), 50–64 (1999) [Funct. Anal. Appl. **33** (4), 280–291 (2000)].

Appendix

Quasi-Particles Associated with Lagrangian Manifolds Corresponding to Semiclassical Self-Consistent Fields. III[2]

Victor P. Maslov

Moscow Institute of Electronics and Mathematics (Technical University),
3/12 B. Vuzovskiĭ per., 109028 Moscow, Russia

Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics Vol. 3 No. 2 (1995)

In the preceding part of this paper, we presented Eqs. (25) for quasi-particles associated with an n-dimensional Lagrangian manifold and Eq. (29) for quasi-particles corresponding to a $(2n-1)$-dimensional manifold. These equations were written out only in the x-chart, and the quantum corrections were given without proof. In this part we essentially use the canonical operator ideology to obtain Eq. (25) with corrections in the x-chart as well as in any other chart of the canonical atlas [1]. To derive the correction in Eq. (29), a “modified" δ-function must be used, and this will be done in the next part of the paper.

To obtain the result in an arbitrary canonical chart, one should pass on to the p-representation with respect to some of the coordinates in the Hartree equation. This is actually equivalent [2] to considering the Hartree-type equation

$$\Big[H_0\Big(\overset{2}{\to} x, -\overset{1}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big) + \int dy\psi^*(y)H_1\Big(\overset{2}{\to} x, -\overset{1}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \overset{2}{\to} y, -\overset{1}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi(y)\Big]\psi(x)$$
$$= \Omega\psi(x), \qquad (50)$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a complex-valued function, $h > 0$, $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$, and the indices 1 and 2 specify the ordering of the operators x and $-ih\partial/\partial x$. The function H_1 satisfies the condition $H_1(x, p_x; y, p_y) = H_1(y, p_y; x, p_x)$. Equation (50) generalizes the ordinary Hartree equation (Eq. (1) in [4], where $N = 1$). The study of Eq. (50) is important, for example, if one makes an attempt to find a solution to the Hartree equation (1) in the momentum representation,

$$\psi(x) = \int \widetilde{\psi}(p)e^{(i/\hbar)px}\frac{dp}{(2\pi\hbar)^{n/2}}.$$

Let us also discuss the variational system associated with Eq. (50), which can be obtained as follows. Along with Eq. (50), let us write out the conjugate equation and consider the variations of both equations *assuming that the variations* $\delta\psi = F$ and $\delta\psi^* = G$ are *independent*.

The variational system has the form

$$\Big[H_0\Big(\overset{2}{\to} x, -\overset{1}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big) - \Omega + \int dy\psi^*(y)H_1\Big(\overset{2}{\to} x, -\overset{1}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \overset{2}{\to} y, -\overset{1}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi(y)\Big]F(x)$$

---

[2] The work was supported by the ISF under grant No. MFO000.

$$\begin{aligned}
&+\int dy\Big(G(y)H_1\Big(\overset{2}{\to}x,-\overset{1}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};\overset{2}{\to}y,-\overset{1}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi(y)\\
&+\psi^*(y)H_1\Big(\overset{2}{\to}x,-\overset{1}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};\overset{2}{\to}y,-\overset{1}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)F(y)\Big)\psi(x)=-\beta F(x),\\
&\Big[H_0\Big(\overset{1}{\to}x,\overset{2}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big)-\Omega+\int dy\psi(y)H_1\Big(\overset{1}{\to}x,\overset{2}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};\overset{1}{\to}y,\overset{2}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi^*(y)\Big]G(x)\\
&+\int dy\Big(F(y)H_1\Big(\overset{1}{\to}x,\overset{2}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};\overset{1}{\to}y,\overset{2}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi^*(y)\\
&+\psi(y)H_1\Big(\overset{1}{\to}x,\overset{2}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};\overset{1}{\to}y,\overset{2}{\to}ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)G(y)\Big)\psi^*(x)=\beta G(x).
\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

Equations (50) and (51) play an important role in the problem of constructing asymptotic solutions to the N-particle Schrödinger equation as $N\to\infty$ [5–7].

For example, the spectrum of system (51) (possible values of β) corresponds to the spectrum of quasi-particles. Namely, the difference between the energy of an excited state and the ground state energy is given by the expression

$$\sum_k \beta_k n_k,$$

where the numbers $n_k\in Z_+$, $k=\overline{1,\infty}$, which are equal to zero starting from some k, define the eigenfunction and the eigenvalue of the excited state, and $\beta_k\in\mathbb{R}$ are the eigenvalues of system (51).

In this paper we are interested in asymptotic solutions to Eqs. (50) and (51) as the "inner" h tends to zero.

Asymptotic solutions to Eq. (50) are given [8] by the canonical operator on a Lagrangian manifold $\Lambda^n=\{x=X(\alpha),p=P(\alpha)\}$ invariant with respect to the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x}=\frac{\partial H(x,p)}{\partial p},\quad \dot{p}=-\frac{\partial H(x,p)}{\partial x},\tag{52}$$

where

$$H(x,p)=H_0(x,p)+\int d\mu_\alpha H_1(x,p;X(\alpha),P(\alpha)),$$

$\alpha\in\Lambda^n$, and $d\mu_\alpha$ is an invariant measure on Λ^n. The Lagrangian manifold lies on the surface $H(x,p)=\Omega$. If a chart A is projected diffeomorphically in the x-plane, then the canonical operator acts as the multiplication by $\exp\{(i/h)S(x)\}/\sqrt{J}$, where $S(x)=\int p\,dx$ on Λ^n and $J=Dx/D\mu_\alpha$. We are interested in finding asymptotic solutions to Eqs. (51). Without loss of generality, we can consider only the case of x-chart. Indeed, to obtain similar expressions in the p-chart, one must consider the Fourier transformation of Eqs. (50) and (51) and apply the same technique, since the form of the equations remains unchanged.

Let us seek the asymptotic solutions to Eqs. (51) in the x-chart in the form

$$F(x)=\widetilde{f}(x)\psi(x),\quad G(x)=\widetilde{g}(x)\psi^*(x),\tag{53}$$

where the functions f and g, in contrast to ψ and ψ^*, have a limit as $h\to0$. One can consider a more general case, by allowing f and g to be functions of x and $-ih\partial/\partial x$, but in the leading term as $h\to0$ we have

$$-ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}e^{(i/h)S}\approx\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}e^{(i/h)S},$$

and so we arrive at functions f and g that depend only on x.

The second equation in system (51) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[H_0\Big(x, ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big) + \int dy \psi(y) H_1\Big(x, ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}; y, ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi^*(y); \widetilde{g}(x)\Big]\psi^*(x) \\
&\quad + \int dy \Big\{\psi(y)\widetilde{c}(y) H_1\Big(x, ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}; y, ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi^*(y) \\
&\quad + \psi(y)\Big[H_1\Big(x, ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}; y, ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big); \widetilde{g}(y)\Big]\psi^*(y)\Big\} = \beta\widetilde{g}(x)\psi^*(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where $[A; B] = AB - BA$ and

$$c(x) = \widetilde{f}(x) + \widetilde{g}(x). \tag{55}$$

Equation (50) is used in the derivation of Eq. (54). We observe that all terms containing the function $\widetilde{g}$ on the left-hand side in Eq. (50) are $O(h)$, since the commutator of two operators depending on x and $-ih\partial/\partial x$ is equal in the classical limit to $(-ih)$ times the Poisson bracket of the corresponding classical quantities.

Thus, the function c, as well as the eigenvalue β, is assumed to be $O(h)$. Let us rescale these quantities as follows:

$$c(x) = h\widetilde{c}(x), \quad \beta = h\widetilde{\beta}. \tag{56}$$

Now we can derive the equation for $\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{c}$, and $\widetilde{\beta}$ in the leading term in h and the first correction to it from Eq. (54), making use of the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i)}\quad \Big[A\Big(\overset{1}{\to} x, \overset{2}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big); \xi(x)\Big] &= \sum_{a=1}^{n} ih\frac{\partial A}{\partial p_a}\Big(\overset{1}{\to} x, \overset{2}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big)\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_a} \\
&\quad - \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \frac{h^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial p_a \partial p_b}\Big(\overset{1}{\to} x, \overset{2}{\to} ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big)\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x_a \partial x_b},
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where $p_a = ih\partial/\partial x_a$, $A(x,p)$ is a function $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\xi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

ii) $\psi(x) = \chi(x,h)e^{(i/h)S(x)}$, where $\chi = 1/\sqrt{J}$ in the leading term in h;

$$\text{iii)}\qquad ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}e^{-(i/h)S(x)} = e^{-(i/h)S(x)}\Big(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_n} + ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big);$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{iv)}\qquad B\Big(ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\Big) &= B\Big(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\Big) + ih\sum_{a=1}^{n}\frac{\partial B}{\partial p_a}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} + \frac{ih}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial p_a \partial p_b}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_a \partial x_b} \\
&\quad + \frac{(ih)^2}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial p_a \partial p_b}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a \partial x_b} + \frac{(ih)^2}{2}\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^3 B}{\partial p_a \partial p_b \partial p_c}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_a \partial x_b}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_c} \\
&\quad + \frac{(ih)^2}{6}\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^3 B}{\partial p_a \partial p_b \partial p_c}\frac{\partial^3 S}{\partial x_a \partial x_b \partial x_c} \\
&\quad + \frac{(ih)^2}{8}\sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^4 B}{\partial p_a \partial p_b \partial p_c \partial p_d}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_a \partial x_b}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_c \partial x_d} + O(h^3),
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where all derivatives of B are evaluated at the point $p = \partial S/\partial x$.

These relations can easily be obtained for monomial functions A and B. An application of formulas i)–iv) yields the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&i\sum_{a=1}^{n}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_a^x}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))-\widetilde{\beta}\widetilde{g}(X(\alpha))+\int d\mu_\beta\widetilde{c}(X(\beta))H_1\\
&\quad+i\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_a^y}+\frac{h}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))\\
&\quad-\frac{h}{2}\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x\partial p_c^x}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_b\partial x_c}(X(\alpha))-\frac{h}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\\
&\quad+\frac{ih}{2}\int d\mu_\beta\widetilde{c}(X(\beta))\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\Big[\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))+\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\beta))\Big]\\
&\quad-\frac{ih}{2}\int d\mu_\beta\widetilde{c}(X(\beta))\sum_{a=1}^{n}\Big[\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_a^x}\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))+\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_a^y}\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\Big]\\
&\quad+\frac{h}{2}\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\Big\{\sum_{b=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y}\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\beta))+\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))\Big)\\
&\quad-\sum_{b,c=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial^3 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^x\partial p_c^x}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_b\partial x_c}(X(\alpha))+\frac{\partial^3 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y\partial p_c^y}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial y_b\partial y_c}(X(\beta))\Big\}\\
&\quad-\frac{h}{2}\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y}\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\beta))=0;
\end{aligned}\tag{59}$$

in this formula the arguments

$$x=X(\alpha),\quad p^x=P(\alpha),\quad y=X(\beta),\quad p^y=P(\beta)\tag{60}$$

of the function H_1 and of its derivatives, as well as the arguments $x=X(\alpha)$, $p^x=P(\alpha)$ of the function H, are omitted.

Let us now find another equation relating $\widetilde{g}$ to $\widetilde{c}$. To this end, let us multiply the first equation in system (51) by $\psi^*(x)$ and the second equation by $\psi(x)$. Let us subtract the first product from the second. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta\psi^*(x)\psi(x)c(x)=\psi(x)\Big[H\Big(x,ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big);\widetilde{g}(x)\Big]\psi^*(x)\\
&\quad+\psi^*(x)\Big[H\Big(x,-ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big);\widetilde{g}(x)\Big]\psi(x)-\psi^*(x)\Big[H\Big(x,-ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big);\widetilde{c}(x)\Big]\psi(x)\\
&\quad+\psi(x)\int dy\psi(y)\Big[H_1\Big(x,ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};y,ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big);\widetilde{g}(y)\Big]\psi^*(y)\psi^*(x)\\
&\quad-\psi^*(x)\int dy\psi^*(y)\Big[\widetilde{g}(y);H_1\Big(x,-ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};y,-ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\Big]\psi(y)\psi(x)\\
&\quad+\psi(x)\int dy\psi(y)c(y)H_1\Big(x,ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};y,ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)\psi^*(y)\psi^*(x)\\
&\quad-\psi^*(x)\int dy\psi^*(y)H_1\Big(x,-ih\frac{\partial}{\partial x};y,-ih\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big)c(y)\psi(y)\psi(x).
\end{aligned}\tag{61}$$

Let us use Eqs. (57)–(59). We find the following equation for $\widetilde{g}$ and $\widetilde{c}$ modulo $O(h^2)$:

$$i\sum_{a=1}^{n}\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_a^x}\frac{\partial\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))-\widetilde{\beta}\widetilde{c}(X(\alpha))-\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))\\
&-\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x\partial p_c^x}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_b\partial x_c}(X(\alpha))-\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y}\\
&-\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\Big(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^3 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^x\partial p_c^x}+\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial y_a\partial y_b}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial^3 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y\partial p_c^y}\Big)\\
&+\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\Big(\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))-\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^x}+\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y}\Big)\\
&-i\int d\mu_\beta\widetilde{c}(X(\beta))\sum_{a=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_a^x}+\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_a^y}\Big)\\
&+i\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_a^y}\\
&+i\int d\mu_\beta\widetilde{c}(X(\beta))\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))+\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial y_a\partial x_b}(X(\beta))\Big)\\
&+\frac{h}{2}\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x\partial p_c^x}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_b\partial x_c}(X(\alpha))\\
&-\frac{h}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))+\frac{h}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_a^x\partial p_b^x}\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))\\
&+\frac{h}{2}\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a,b,c=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\Big(\frac{\partial^3 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^x\partial p_c^x}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_b\partial x_c}(X(\alpha))+\frac{\partial^3 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y\partial p_c^y}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x_b\partial x_c}(X(\beta))\Big)\\
&+\frac{h}{2}\int d\mu_\beta\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}\Big[\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^y}\Big(\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(X(\beta))-\frac{\partial\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\beta))\\
&-\frac{\partial\widetilde{c}}{\partial x_a}(X(\beta))\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial x_b}(X(\alpha))\frac{\partial^2 H_1}{\partial p_a^y\partial p_b^x}\Big]=0. \qquad (62)
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$H_0(x,p_x)=p_x^2/2+U(x),\qquad H_1(x,p_x;y,p_y)=V(x,y),$$

then Eqs. (59) and (62) become much simpler and acquire the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
(i\nabla S\nabla-\widetilde{\beta})\widetilde{g}+\int V(x,X(\alpha'))\widetilde{c}(X(\alpha'))\,d\mu_{\alpha'}+\frac{h}{2}(-\Delta\widetilde{g}+\nabla\ln J\nabla\widetilde{g})&=0,\\
(i\nabla S\nabla-\widetilde{\beta})\widetilde{c}-\Delta\widetilde{g}+\nabla\ln J\nabla\widetilde{g}-\frac{h}{2}(-\Delta\widetilde{c}+\nabla\ln J\nabla\widetilde{c})&=0. \qquad (63)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eqs. (63) one can approximately find the functions F and G, which are important for constructing approximate wave functions in the N-particle problem as $N\to\infty$ [5].

Let us now relate the obtained results to the solution to variational equation for the Vlasov equation, obtained in the preceding part of this paper [3].

Let $\widehat{\rho}$ be the projection on the function ψ. Its kernel is $\widetilde{\rho}(x,y)=\psi(x)\psi^*(y)$, and its symbol is $\rho(x,p)=\psi(x)\widetilde{\psi}^*(p)e^{(i/\hbar)px}$. The operator $\widehat{\rho}$ satisfies the Wigner equation, which reduces to the Vlasov equation as $h\to 0$. The operator $\widehat{\sigma}$ with the kernel $F(x)\psi^*(y)+$

$\psi(x)G(y)$ is equal to

$$\widehat{\sigma} = \widetilde{f}\widehat{\rho} + \widehat{\rho}\widetilde{g} \tag{64}$$

and satisfies the variational equation to the Wigner equation, which is reduced to the variational equation for the Vlasov equation (20). In Eq. (64) $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ are the operators of multiplication by the functions $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{g}$. We see that in the semiclassical approximation the symbol of σ is $O(h)$, since

$$\widehat{\sigma} = [\widehat{\rho}; \widetilde{g}] + \hbar \widetilde{c} \widehat{\rho}$$

and

$$\sigma(x,p) \simeq \hbar\Big(-i \sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p_a}(x,p) \frac{\partial \widetilde{g}}{\partial x_a} + \widetilde{c}\rho \Big).$$

Since ρ is the δ_Λ-function in the semiclassical approximation [3], the function σ is actually the sum of the δ_Λ-function and its derivative. Equations (63) are consistent with Eqs. (24), obtained in [3] for the coefficients of δ and δ'. Thus, the approach suggested in this part of the article allows us to find an asymptotic formula for σ as well.

The author is deeply grateful to O. Yu. Shvedov, whose assistance in carrying out all computations was invaluable.

References

[1] Maslov. V. P. *Théorie des Perturbations et Méthodes Asymptotiques* (Dunod, Paris, 1972).

[2] Maslov. V. P. "Equations of self-consistent field", in *Sovremennye problemy matematiki* (1978), Vol. 11, pp. 153–234.

[3] Maslov. V. P. "Quasi-particles associated with Lagrangian manifolds corresponding to classical self-consistent fields, II," Russian J. of Math. Phys. **3** (1), 123–132 (1995).

[4] Maslov. V. P. "Quasi-particles associated with Lagrangian manifolds correspondijng to classical self-consistent fields, I," Russian J. of Math. Phys. **2** (4), 528–534 (1995).

[5] Maslov. V. P. and Shvedov, O. Yu. "Quantization in the neighborhood of classical solution in the N-particle problem and superfluidity," Theoret. and Math. Phys. **98** (2), 181–196 (1994).

[6] Maslov. V. P. and Shvedov, O. Yu. "Complex WKB-method in the Fock space," Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk **340** (1), 42–47 (1995).

[7] Maslov. V. P. and Shvedov, O. Yu. "Large deviations in the many-body problem," Matem. Zametki **57** (1), 133–137 (1995).

[8] Maslov. V. P. *Complex Markov Chains and Feynman Path Integral* (Nauka, Moscow, 1976).